

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/612,697	07/10/2000	Kevin Benson McNeil	6033CC	9466	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			EXAM	INER	
			HESS, BRUCE H		
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·	11, 011 43224		1774 DATE MAILED: 05/01/2002	, 4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 09/612, 697	Applicant(s)	McNeil				
Office Action Summary		1900					
	Examiner		Group Art Unit				
	Bruce	Hess	1774				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE O ~ «	MONTH(S	FROM THE MAILING DATE				
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimorpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30)	days will be considered timely.				
Status		•	•				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Prel	Amdt. (Pa	per 1	Jo. 3)				
☐ This action is FINAL.		1					
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>			the merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims							
Aclaim(s) and 9-22	ar	is/are ;	pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	·		withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are a	allowed.				
□ Claim(s)	· .	is/are ı	rejected.				
□ Claim(s)	• .:	is/are	objected to.				
(xClaim(s) 1 and 9-22		are sul require	bject to restriction <del>-or election</del>				
Application Papers							
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		•					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority undended ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. ,					
□ received.	· ·						
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>	·	lule 1 7.2(a)).	<del></del> •				
*Certified copies not received:	·····		· · ·				
Attachment(s)				•			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	s) □ In	terview Sumr	nary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	. <b>DN</b>	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther					
Office A	Action Summary		·				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claim 1, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 195.
  - II. Claims 9-22, drawn to a process of making, classified in class 156, subclass 250 and/or 270.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (e.g., impart the lines of termination either before or simultaneously with the printing of the indicia).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300